Holy Trinity C of E Primary School

Pupil Premium Strategy

| 1. Summary information | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------|--|----------|
| School | Holy Trini | ty C of E Primary School | | | |
| Academic Year | 2016/17 | Total PP budget | 48620 | Date of most recent PP Review | 23.09.16 |
| Total number of pupils | 451 | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 32 | Date for next internal review of this strategy | 16.12.16 |

| 2. C | urrent attainment | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Pupils eligible for PP (your school) | Pupils not eligible for PP (national average) | | |
| % acl | hieving EXS+ in reading, writing & maths | 20 | tbc | | |
| avera | ge progress score in reading | 1.8 | tbc | | |
| avera | ge progress score in writing | -0.3 | tbc | | |
| avera | average progress score in maths -5.1 tbc | | | | |
| 3. B | arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP) | | | | |
| In-sc | hool barriers | | | | |
| A. | KS2 progress for more able disadvantaged pupils | | | | |
| B. | LKS2 sustained progress and attainment, closing the gap, need to | make increased progress to close ga | р | | |
| C. | Emotional/Social needs due to high number of previously Looked A | fter Children | | | |
| Е | xternal barriers | | | | |
| D. | Attendance of PP to be in line with rest of school | | | | |
| 4. | Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be | Success criteria | | | |
| A. | KS2 progress for more able disadvantaged pupils (children to be specifically targeted for greater depth intervention) Higher ability to have made better than expected progress in Maths | | | | |
| B. | LKS2 sustained progress and attainment , closing the gap, need to make increased progress to close gap | Children to be at ARE and to have made better than expected progress in order to close the gap | | | |

| C. | Emotional/Social needs due to high number of previously Looked After Children (children to access ELSA, SEAL groups, and TaMHS worker/Play therapist as required | Fewer incidents of behavioural/social issues reported |
|----|--|---|
| D. | Attendance of PP to be in line with rest of school | Attendance to be in line with non-pupil premium |

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2016-2017

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

i. Quality of teaching for all

| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | taff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|
| A | Support from maths adviser for higher ability children in | Teachers to be supported in challenging activities | Book looks/Pupil voice Ph | aths SL hase eaders | At least every half term Progress meetings |
| A | Targeted questioning and specific feedback | Evidence from EEF toolkit suggests that specific feedback is effective | Lesson dips/Learning walks Pupils able to articulate their learning | LT | Half-termly |
| A | Involvement in Cluster Moderation sessions | Teachers to be able to share good practice with colleagues | | hase eaders | After each moderation session |
| A | Specialist Intervention teacher support | Trained specialist intervention teacher employed to support pupils, as evidence from EEF toolkit suggests that specific targeted teaching has a positive impact on raising attainment | | | |
| | | | Total budge | eted cost | 18000 |

ii. Targeted support

| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------------|---|
| В | Small group tuition | Evidence suggests that specific targeted small group tuition has positive impact on plugging gaps | Through tracking of the impact of each group/intervention - adjustments to be made if impact is not evident | SLT | Pupil progress meetings at the end of each term |
| В | Small group targeted intervention | Evidence suggests that specific targeted, time-limited interventions have positive impact on plugging gaps | Through tracking of the impact of each group/intervention - adjustments to be made if impact is not evident | SLT | Pupil progress meetings at the end of each term |
| | 1 | 1 | Total bu | dgeted cost | 14000 |
| iii. Other approac | hes | | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
| D | Attendance tea party Celebration | Raised profile of attendance, positive encouragement | Weekly attendance analysis | НТ | Termly |
| С | ELSA | Previous success of ELSA program in school | Staff trained/sessions timetabled Pupil/Parent feedback | SENCo | 6-8 weeks (at end of each program) |
| С | Enrichment clubs | Feedback from parents is positive about the impact that enrichment | Feedback to be obtained from club leader, parent/carer and pupil | HT | Termly |
| С | Music lessons | Feedback from music teacher is positive about the increased concentration of a child | Feedback to be obtained from music teacher, parent/carer and pupil | Music Lead | Termly |
| С | Play Therapy | Parents report huge impact on self- esteem of children and ability to control anger as a result of play | Feedback to be obtained from play therapist, parent/carer and pupil | НТ | Termly |

therapy sessions

| С | TaMHS Worker sessions | Feedback obtained from children and parents accessing TaMHS support has proved to be positive. TaMHS worker has also been helpful in signposting other agencies to support families. | Feedback to be obtained from TaMHS worker, parent/carer and pupil | HT/SENCo | Termly |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--|---|----------|--------|
| Total budgeted cost | | | | | 12000 |

| 6. Review of expe | enditure | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------|
| Previous Academi | c Year | | | |
| i. Quality of teac | hing for all | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |
| | | | | |
| ii. Targeted supp | ort | | | 1 |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |
| | | | | |
| iii. Other approac | hes | | | |

| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--|--|------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

7. Additional detail

| In this section you can annex or refer to additional information which you have used to support the sections above. | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |